



Situation: Contract Reviews



Proposed reviewer **may not be on the technical evaluation panel if:**

- The reviewer is named on the proposal in a major professional role
- The reviewer (or close family member) would receive a direct financial interest if the proposal is funded
- The reviewer is employed by the offerer institution



Proposed reviewer **may not be on the technical evaluation panel if:**

- The reviewer is from an institution that is included as a subcomponent of the proposal
- Within in the past three years, the reviewer has been a collaborator or has been involved in the preparation of a grant application or contract proposal with, or has had any other professional relationship (e.g., served as a mentor) with any person on the proposal who has a major role
- The proposal includes a letter of support or reference letter from the reviewer
- The reviewer serves as a member of the advisory board for the project under review
- The reviewer has an indirect financial interest from the offerer institution or person with a major professional role of over \$10,000 in honoraria, stocks, and fees during the course of the last year or during the contract period



Proposed reviewer **may be on the technical evaluation panel and **may** review the proposal if: (not considered a conflict)**

- A proposal originates from an institution where the reviewer has collaborators, but the reviewer's collaborators are not listed on the proposal
- The reviewer has an indirect financial interest of less than \$10,000
- The reviewer freely donates reagents or other materials to the proposed project, and these reagents or materials would also be available to other researchers
- The reviewer, as well as a person with a major role on the proposed project, contributes data, reagents, specimens, etc., to the same repository or database
- The reviewer is a member of a research network that involves a person with a major role on the proposed project
- The reviewer is a co-author of a non-research publication (e.g., review, commentary) or a mega-multi-authored publication with a person with a major role on the proposed project.

Note: A Federal employee serving as an NIH peer reviewer is responsible for obtaining any clearance required by his employing institute, agency, or office.

Revised July 22, 2021



National Institutes of Health
Office of Extramural Research